

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
8 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 1917
Case No. C-07-5944 JST

9 This Order Relates To:

10
11 ALL INDIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE:
CERTAIN RECENT FILINGS**

12
13 On February 4, 2016, the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs (“IPPs”) filed two lengthy briefs on
14 the docket, along with supporting materials. See ECF No. 4370, 4373. These documents urge the
15 Court to approve the pending IPP settlement and the related motion for attorneys’ fees.

16 The motion to which these filing relate were submitted to Special Master Martin Quinn for
17 review. He has since produced a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”), ECF No. 4351, dated
18 January 28, 2016. The next step is for the Court to resolve any timely objections that are filed in
19 response to the R&R. Objections are due by February 12, 2016, and responses to those objections
20 are due by February 23, 2016. See ECF No. 4286 at 2. The IPPs’ recent briefs do not respond to
21 any objection to the R&R, or even mention the R&R. They appear to be a rehash of the
22 documents that were submitted to Special Master Quinn. It is not clear how these documents are
23 intended to assist the Court in moving the case toward resolution.

24 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS the IPPs to SHOW CAUSE why the Court should not
25 strike the briefs at ECF Nos. 4370 and 4373, and any supporting documents, from the docket.
26 Any written response to this Order must be filed by February 16, 2016. No response is required,
27 but if none is filed, the Court will strike the subject filings.

28 / / /

1 The IPPs remain free, of course, to file a timely objection to the R&R if they wish, and to
2 respond to any objections filed by other parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 || Dated: February 8, 2016


JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California